

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/014,217	10/22/2001	Senthil Sengodan	550.76USC1	5156	
32294 7590 03/08/2006 SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. 14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			EXAMINER		
			HYUN, SOON D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2661		
		DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

av.
XY
1)1
~

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/014,217	SENGODAN, SENTHIL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Soon D. Hyun	2661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	November 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ The	nis action is non-final.	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•					
 4) Claim(s) 1-69 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 13-21 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5,6,8-10,22,23,26,27,29-31,34,35,38-47,50-59,62,63 and 65-67 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4,7,11,12,24,25,28,32,33,36,37,48,49,60,61,64,68 and 69 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/014,217 Page 2

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8-10, 22, 26, 27, 29-31, 34, 35, 38-47, 50-59, 62, and 65-67 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1, 2, 6, 8-10, 58, 59, 63, and 65-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Grayson (U.S. Patent No. 6,665,278).

Regarding claim 1, Grayson discloses a method of providing resource discovery (discovery of a target node, TN) comprising:

sending a first request message (a packet M in FIG. 13 to be transmitted to the target node TN in FIG. 13 or node B in FIG. 10 for direct communication, col. 7, lines 9-10 and col. 8, lines 39-42) having a first selected scope (a hop count 0) for direct communication to the target node;

Art Unit: 2661

analyzing whether a confirm message (an ACK in FIG. 10) is received from the node B in respond to the first request message;

sending a second request message (a second packet M (1)) having a second selected scope (a second hop count 1) when a confirm message is not received from a discovered resource (the target node), the second scope (the hop count 1) being greater than the first scope.

Regarding claim 2, Grayson further discloses the step of setting timer in response to the first request message and the step of terminating the resource discovery procedure as recited in the claim (col. 2, lines 28-33).

Regarding claim 6, Grayson further discloses that the scope comprises a hop count, the hop count representing a number of nodes in a multicast tree (FIG. 13) that the packet propagates (col. 8, lines 35-67).

Regarding claim 8, refer to the discussion for claim 1. The hop count in the packet is parameters for analyzes by a node receiving the request message.

Regarding claim 9, Grayson further discloses that the parameters comprises hop-by-hop parameters, the hop-by-hop parameters being modified by intermediate nodes during propagation of the packet in a multicast tree (col. 8, lines 35-67 and FIG. 13)

Regarding claim 10, Grayson further discloses that the packet has a destination address (destination parameters) being used by a resource being discovered using the packet to determine whether the resource responds using confirm (ACK) message (col. 7, lines 36-54).

Application/Control Number: 10/014,217

Art Unit: 2661

Regarding claim 58, refer to the discussion for claim 1. Grayson further discloses a device function 20 in FIG. 2 having instruction or a computer program causing the processor locate a resource, col. 3, line 66-col. 4, line 30).

Regarding claim 59, refer to the discussion for claim 2.

Regarding claim 63, refer to the discussion for claims 6 and 58.

Regarding claim 65, refer to the discussion for claims 8 and 58.

Regarding claim 66, refer to the discussion for claims 9 and 58.

Regarding claim 67, refer to the discussion for claims 10 and 58.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5, 22, 23, 26, 27, 29-30, 31, 35, 38-47, 50-57, and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Grayson (U.S. Patent No. 6,665,278).

Regarding claims 5, 22, 26, 27, and 34, refer to the discussion for claim 1.

Grayson discloses a discoverer (an originate node in FIG 13), comprising:

a discovery unit (a microprocessor 12 in FIG. 2); and

an application (a device function 20 in FIG. 2 having instruction or a computer program causing the processor locate a resource, col. 3, line 66-col. 4, line 30) coupled to the discovery unit for locating an endpoint application (a target node).

Application/Control Number: 10/014,217

Art Unit: 2661

However, Grayson does not explicitly teach that the originate node transmit the packet to a plurality of target nodes (a multicast group), but Grayson further teaches that the originate node broadcasts a packet to a plurality of nodes (col. 3, lines 45-55 and col. 4, lines 65-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to transmit a packet to a group of target nodes (a multicast group) by coping the packet and transmitting the packet to each node of the group to multicast the packet.

Regarding claims 23 and 35, refer to the discussion for claim 2,

Regarding claim 29, refer to the discussion for claim 8.

Regarding claim 30, refer to the discussion for claim 9

Regarding claim 31, refer to the discussion for claim 10.

Regarding claim 38, refer to the discussion for claim 6.

Regarding claim 39, refer to the discussion for claim 8.

Regarding claim 40, refer to the discussion for claim 9.

Regarding claim 41, refer to the discussion for claim 10

Regarding claim 42, Grayson further discloses that the application and the discovery unit are co-located in a device.

Regarding claim 43, Grayson further discloses that the application and the discovery unit are separated (not co-located).

Regarding claims 44 and 45, Grayson further teaches that each node has functions of a base transceiver station (relay function) and a wireless terminal (FIG. 13)

Regarding claim 46, refer to the discussion for claims1 and 34.

Art Unit: 2661

Grayson discloses a discoverer (an originate node in FIG 13), comprising: a discovery means (a microprocessor 12 in FIG. 2) for providing resource discovery; and

a notification means (a device function 20 in FIG. 2, col. 3, line 66-col. 4, line 30) coupled to the discovery means for locating an endpoint application (a target node).

Regarding claim 47, refer to the discussion for claim 2.

Regarding claim 50, refer to the discussion for claim 6.

Regarding claim 51, refer to the discussion for claim 8.

Regarding claim 52, refer to the discussion for claim 9.

Regarding claim 53, refer to the discussion for claim 10.

Regarding claim 54, refer to the discussion for claim 42.

Regarding claim 55, refer to the discussion for claim 43.

Regarding claims 56 and 57, refer to the discussion for claims 44 and 45.

Regarding claim 62, refer to the discussion for claims 34 and 58.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 13-21 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 4, 7, 11, 12, 24, 25, 28, 32, 33, 36, 37, 48, 49, 60, 61, 64, 68, and 69 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/014,217 Page 7

Art Unit: 2661

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Soon D. Hyun whose telephone number is 571-272-3121. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S. Hyun 03/03/2006

> ANDREW C. LEE PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER